

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: EN010077: East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm and East Anglia Two Project – EN010078
Date: 28 March 2021 12:25:51
Attachments: [Wet Wood River Hundred.zip](#)

Reference 20023458 & East Anglia Two Project - EN010078 - Reference 200023459

Dear Mr Smith and Examining Officers

I believe that this submission is in time for Deadline 9?

I would like to direct you to two programmes that have been broadcast on Channel 5, Kate Humble's Coastal Britain. The two episodes were broadcast on March 12th and March 26th 2021 and give a very clear picture of the Suffolk coast from Covehithe in the North to Orford Ness in the South <https://www.my5.tv/kate-humble-s-coastal-britain/season-1/episode-4-80f1abb4-79d8-4836-849b-28f5e3614539> and <https://www.my5.tv/kate-humble-s-coastal-britain/season-1/episode-6-492c446c-b28a-4b06-a49a-0b99075d9d32> I realise that you and the examiners have had site visits along the coast, but possibly not at the height of the season and in such fair weather and I do feel that it is imperative that you do see why we the residents of East Suffolk feel so strongly that the planned Scottish Power Renewables and National Grid's onshore substations plus additional energy projects that are in the pipeline, including Nautilus are not consented. As you will learn from the films the coastline is extremely vulnerable to attack from the sea and the idea of SPR's plans to bring cabling ashore at Thorpeness through and across the Coraline crag is alarming.

I have to express my growing dismay and concern that at this stage in the proceedings, Scottish Power and National Grid have left so much still unclear? SPR cannot guarantee that their drilling and cabling will not cause untold damage. How is it possible that a whole regions infrastructure, ecology and economy can be put at such risk and danger by such an ill-thought-out project. Do we really dare risk another Dunwich - Eastern Bavants and the situation at Covehithe should be ringing alarm bells?

Finally, I continue to be amazed that the Applicant does not recognise that the wood bordering the River Hundred is not considered to be a Wet Wood. I attach photographs taken on Friday 26th March showing the woodland that will be impacted by the Applicant's plans. Please bear in mind that these photographs were taken after a month of very little rain, in fact we have not experienced any meaningful rain since the snow covered the ground in early February. I can confirm that walking this path over many years the ground will look as wet and boggy all year round, very similar as can be seen at RSPB Minsmere. It is important to consider the affect that any alterations to the River Hundred will have on the Meare at Thorpeness? The Meare, is a very popular destination for holiday makers and locals and I understand that the Meare water supply is from The River Hundred.

I want to reiterate that I am not against windfarms, however it is the cumulative impact on the EA One and EA Two projects that I do object to and ask that they are not consented as it currently stands.

With many thanks to you and your team,

Yours sincerely

Sheridan Steen























